

SECRET

Executive Registry

54-07711/19

6 JUN 1974

Admiral Thomas H. Moorer, USN  
Chairman, Joint Chiefs of Staff  
Washington, D.C. 20301

Dear Tom:

Thank you for your memorandum of 9 May. We are refining the KIQ/KEP process as it evolves and working to sharpen the focus on the priority intelligence interests of consumers as well as hold down the number of questions.

It is not my intention that the KIQ/KEP process should impact adversely on departmental intelligence interests. The reverse should be the case. I believe we have seen in the Yom Kippur war how departmental assets supported national needs and national assets supported departmental needs. I would hope that the intelligence priorities reflected in the KIQs would provide focus to both these complementary processes. Using KIQs as a management tool should thus be beneficial to both national and departmental efforts, even though, of course, they do not cover all requirements, either national or departmental.

As you perceive, the question of user satisfaction is integral to the whole KIQs evaluation process. It is my plan to have the NIOs deal with all senior departmental, as well as national, entities in their work and provide the first cut at evaluation of performance against the KIQs. Their report will be provided to the USIB for review and subsequently to the NSCIC.

I very much appreciate the attention you have given to the KIQ/KEP process. Like you, I am confident we will be able to develop it into a useful and meaningful management tool for the community.

Sincerely,

W. E. Colby

W. E. Colby

W. E. Colby

DCI/IC: [redacted] 6 Jun 74

Distribution:

O - Addressee

1 - DCI

1 - DDCI

1 - D/DCI/IC

1 - ER

EB

EXECUTIVE REGISTRY FILE

1 - IC Registry w/background

1 - [redacted] chrono

SECRET

25X1

**SECRET**

Executive Registry
74-077111A

**Admiral Thomas H. Moorer**  
**Chairman, Joint Chiefs of Staff**  
**Room 2E873, Pentagon**  
**Washington, D.C. 20301**

**Dear Admiral Moorer:**

Thank you for your memorandum of 9 May. We are refining the KIQ/KEP process as it evolves and working to sharpen the focus on the priority intelligence interests of consumers as well as hold down the number of questions.

It is not my intention that the KIQ/KEP process should impact adversely on departmental intelligence interests. The reverse should be the case. I believe we have seen in the Yom Kippur war how departmental assets supported national needs and national assets supported departmental needs. I would hope that the intelligence priorities reflected in the KIQs would provide focus to both these complementary processes. Using KIQs as a management tool should thus be beneficial to both national and departmental efforts, even though, of course, they do not cover all requirements, either national or departmental.

As you perceive, the question of user satisfaction is integral to the whole KIQs evaluation process. It is my plan to have the NIOs deal with all senior departmental, as well as national, entities in their work and provide the first cut at evaluation of performance against the KIQs. Their report will be provided to the USIB for review and subsequently to the NSCIC as appropriate.

25X1

**SECRET**

SECRET

I very much appreciate the attention you have given to the KIC/KEP process. Like you, I am confident we will be able to develop it into a useful and meaningful management tool for the community.

Sincerely,

W. E. Colby

K1 DCI/IC:JHL:IBM [ ] 16 May 1974

Retyped JMC:saw 21 May 1974

Distribution:

O-Addressee

1- DCI

1- DDCI

1-D/DCI/IC

1-ER

1-IC Registry w/background

1-JHL chrono

WVA 55 17 06 PM '74

SECRET

SECRET

Approved For Release 2005/11/23 : CIA-RDP80M01048A000400100008-1

THE DIRECTOR OF CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE

WASHINGTON, D. C. 20505

Admiral Thomas H. Moorer, USN  
Chairman, Joint Chiefs of Staff  
~~Room 2E873, Pentagon~~  
Washington, D.C. 20301

Dear <sup>Tom:</sup> ~~Admiral Moorer:~~

Thank you for your memorandum of 9 May. We are refining the KIQ/KEP process as it evolves and working to sharpen the focus on the priority intelligence interests of consumers as well as hold down the number of questions.

It is not my intention that the KIQ/KEP process should impact adversely on departmental intelligence interests. The reverse should be the case. I believe we have seen in the Yom Kippur war how departmental assets supported national needs and national assets supported departmental needs. I would hope that the intelligence priorities reflected in the KIQs would provide focus to both these complementary processes. Using KIQs as a management tool should thus be beneficial to both national and departmental efforts, even though, of course, they do not cover all requirements, either national or departmental.

As you perceive, the question of user satisfaction is integral to the whole KIQs evaluation process. It is my plan to have the NIOs deal with all senior departmental, as well as national, entities in their work and provide the first cut at evaluation of performance against the KIQs. Their report will be provided to the USIB for review and subsequently to the NSCIC as appropriate.

O  
l  
d  
  
V  
e  
r  
s  
i  
o  
n

Approved For Release 2005/11/23 : CIA-RDP80M01048A000400100008-1

SECRET

SECRET

I very much appreciate the attention you have given to the  
KIQ/KEP process. Like you, I am confident we will be able to  
develop it into a useful and meaningful management tool for the  
community.

Sincerely,

W. E. Colby